Strategic Planning and Alliances Steering Committee July 2, 2024 Meeting Notes

Attendance					
Members Present:	Mary Jane King	Mignon Dryden	Jenna Mazreku	NAACCR Staff Present:	
Randi Rycroft (co-chair)	Betsy Kohler	Wendy Aldinger	Iris Zachary	Karen Knight	
Monique Hernandez (co-chair)	Eric Durbin	Stephanie Hill		Ann Marie Hill	
Visitors:					
Bozena Morawski					
Joshua Mazuryk					
AGENDA ITEM		DISCUSSIO	N	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP	

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP
1. Roll – Karen		
2. Welcome new member – Randi Randi welcomed Eric Durbin as a new member of the SPA SC. As NAACCR's President Elect, he is replacing Winny Roshala as Past President. She also shared that Monique Hernandez will be joining her as co-chair of SPA SC.		
3. Review June 4 th notes – Randi	Minutes were approved.	
4. CERT TF update – Bozena Morawski / Joshua Mazuryk Randi invited co-chairs of the CERT TF to provide updates to the Steering Committee. The Certification Evaluation and Recommendations Taskforce (CERT) is a Board-level initiative. They put out a Narrative article and hosted a Coffee Break a few months ago. Their deliverable is to provide recommendations to the Board on revisions to certification criteria by September 2024. They have surveyed registry directors and gotten feedback through committees. They will publicize a structure for comment before making any recommendations to the Board. They are evaluating metrics to include. They are asking SPA SC for feedback on other people they should reach out for input.	Josh noted these will be recommendations to the Board and other work will happen after that. The group discussed timing and appropriateness of reaching out to others for feedback.	CERT will come to a future SPA SC meeting when they have more information.
5. Updates from the Board and potential SPA SC assignments – Wendy Wendy thinks that the Board is drafting their DEIR statement; that discussion will continue at the next Board meeting. The next step will come to SPA SC to discuss how to roll into the statement into NAACCR activities.		

6. Review value of NAACCR template "brochure" – Randi Randi shared a template brochure with the group for discussion and feedback. The idea would be to answer the question for each partner, "what can we do for you?" Monique said the mentorship graphic from last year was really helpful and she thinks promotion like this brochure could be really helpful. Ann Marie said the template covers a lot of issues and is well laid out. She asked if we could find one that is general and then tailor for specific partnerships (fact sheets). Being specific about how we have been beneficial (data, stories) is very impactful. Wendy said an online electronic portfolio may be doable. Stephanie agreed with a digital version. Mignon said that gives us more flexibility. Monique said for work on the Hill, it may be helpful to create a paper version for conversations, especially for funding advocacy. Ann Marie and Mary Jane agreed. Mary Jane said they call them placemats – print them out as flyers on heavy stock that go along with the meeting. Ann Marie said it sounds like we need both a digital and printed push, so people who do not know us can have something. Mary Jane said the draft was inspired and includes NAACCR branding that already exists.	Karen said they also discussed promoting certification results and accomplishments report with our partners. Monique said they also discussed partnership with One Voice Against Cancer (OVAC). Leadership changes are happening at OVAC and this may be a good time to include them as part of list of partners to reach out to. Randi sits on OVAC calls and she has not heard about changes in leadership, but there were leadership changes at NCI. This partnership would help with advocacy on the Hill.		
	Randi shared a template brochure with the group for discussion and feedback. The idea would be to answer the question for each partner, "what can we do	really helpful and she thinks promotion like this brochure could be really helpful. Ann Marie said the template covers a lot of issues and is well laid out. She asked if we could find one that is general and then tailor for specific partnerships (fact sheets). Being specific about how we have been beneficial (data, stories) is very impactful. Wendy said an online electronic portfolio may be doable. Stephanie agreed with a digital version. Mignon said that gives us more flexibility. Monique said for work on the Hill, it may be helpful to create a paper version for conversations, especially for funding advocacy. Ann Marie and Mary Jane agreed. Mary Jane said they call them placemats – print them out as flyers on heavy stock that go along with the meeting. Ann Marie said it sounds like we need both a digital and printed push, so people who do not know us can have something. Mary Jane said the draft was inspired and includes	
I MIRONON SAIN THE NEXT LAP PEK I MERTING WILL DE VICTUALIATER IN THIV	7. Liaison updates, if available – Randi/All Mignon said the next CAP PERT meeting will be virtual later in July.		

Stephanie said AJCC launched their new online staging system; a subscription is required: https://ajccstaging.org/en. Mary Jane said it is \$50 for an annual individual subscription. It will come with the updates immediately. It does not have a staging calculator; AJCC is hoping clinicians use it more since it is online. There is no institutional subscription option. When selecting the diagnosis year, it will provide the appropriate staging version. There is an inperson meeting in September in Chicago.

HLSG and MLTG met last week at the conference and discussed USCDI+ Cancer registry, the Standards Alignment Task Force recommendations and strategies for upcoming changes. Office of the National Coordinator will be publishing fields for early incidence reporting and will be open for public comment for 60 days.

Karen mentioned the National Academies meeting on cancer surveillance at the end of July. There is an option for virtual participation.

https://www.nationalacademies.org/event/41759 01-2024 enabling-21st-century-applications-for-cancer-surveillance-through-enhanced-registries-and-beyond-a-

workshop?utm source=HMD+Email+List&utm campaign=ff79e6f264-EMAIL CAMPAIGN 2023 12 12 07 44 COPY 01&utm medium=email&utm ter m=0 -a2d521e607-

<u>%5BLIST EMAIL ID%5D&mc cid=ff79e6f264&mc eid=64042189aa</u> Ann Marie said the Academy is very influential.

Mary Jane said Health InfoWay is an organization that sets data standards for a lot of interoperative transmissions. They work closely with the Canadian Institute for Health Information. She noted the Canadian registries can adopt some of the same things that registries in the US. However, they do not have the same requirements as the US.

Randi reported that the American College of Surgeons is updating their clinical metrics to assess accredited programs. Their updates are based on clinical evidence; there will be a phased in approach. They want to finalize the new slate by the end of the year. There will be an in-person meeting. They are talking about having a new data item for method of detection (for breast cancer and potentially lung cancer). Stephanie said the College agreed to sponsor and submitted a NAACCR request to add method of detection for both breast and lung. It will go through the vetting and discussion process. The deadline for requests was July 1.

Monique said Florida is piloting collection of recurrence data; it is required for accredited hospitals. NCDB did a survey to see who was collecting it and issues. It is not yet a NAACCR data item.	
8. Narrative or Social Media topics – Wendy / Eric Wendy said perhaps when we have a brochure and/or DEIR next steps, those can be shared with the community.	
9. Summarize meeting for reporting to the Board – Randi/All Bozena and Josh will summarize feedback in their report.	
Next Meeting is rescheduled for August 6 th , 11:30 – 1:00 ET.	