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| **Research & Data Use Steering Committee**April 23, 2024 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| **Attendance**  |
| **Members Present:**Angela Meisner (co-chair)Jeff Dowden (co-chair)Bozena Morawski | Chris JohnsonAnne-Michelle NooneMaggie Gates Kuliszewski | Xiaocheng WuAngela EckstrandDaphne Lichtensztajn | Paige MillerSarah NashBrenda Hofer | **NAACCR Staff Present:**Recinda Sherman | **Guests:**Daniel WeiseLena Swander |  |

 |
| **AGENDA ITEM** | **ACTION/FOLLOW-UP** |
| 1. Roll - Angela
 |  |
| 1. **Administrative/Housekeeping – Jeff/Angela/Recinda**
	1. **Call for new agenda items**
	2. **Review/Approve Minutes** – April meeting minutes were approved.
	3. **Questions on Short Updates**  - Sarah will give an update on the AI/AN TF at the May meeting
 |  |
| 1. **New WG/TF Chairs**
	1. **CiNA Geogrphic TF (Daniel Wiese)**

Daniel is the lead of the Geographic Task Force and discussed his background and research focus. He shared that he had already met with Recinda to start organizing the group. The group aims to revise traditional measures of socio-economic status and explore better ways to incorporate them into cancer research using data from the census track level. Recinda mentioned that the next step is to recruit new members and expressed gratitude towards Daniel for taking on the role of chair. The focus of the group is census track level data. * 1. **RDU Social Media WG (Lena Swander)**

Lena Swaner from the Wisconsin Cancer Reporting System is a new member. Lena shared her excitement about bringing her experience from working with researchers and analysts to the group. The team also discussed the recent approval of the charter for the RDU Social Media Work Group, with plans to recruit more members. | * Recinda will set up the 90-minute meeting on July 23rd to discuss updates from the work groups and task forces and will invite the relevant chairs and members.
 |
| 1. **Board Update – Board Liaisons**

Sarah gave an update on the Board’s efforts to improve communication with other committees and the boar. * 1. **Data Release Guidelines**

The board discussed data release guidelines, which were positively received but not yet voted on. Bozena reported that these guidelines were in the process of being posted on the data security and confidentiality website. Additionally, it was mentioned that a narrative article about the guidelines would be published to notify people. |  |
| 1. **Newsworthy Topics**
	1. **NAACCR Narrative**
		1. **Data Release Guidelines (Bozena)**

A narrative article about the guidelines would be published to notify people.* + 1. **CiNA Writing Network (Fran)**

The new Senior Writing network Work group, which was announced in a submitted narrative by Fran. * + 1. **Tableau Data Assessments (Recinda)**

the ongoing Tableau data assessments, with Recinda planning to write a narrative to increase awareness about Tableau Data Assessments ahead of the upcoming registry submission.* 1. **Narrative topics**
		1. The team discussed ideas for these NARRATIVE Articles. Angela emphasized promoting research and data use, suggesting topics related to collaboration and data projects. Sarah proposed discussing their mapping project in upcoming meetings, aiming to gather wider community feedback.
 | * Angela will reach out to Lauren to discuss promoting the CDC's Mapping Cancer project in the upcoming newsletter to raise awareness and encourage more cancer registries to contribute data.
 |
| 1. **Birds of a Feather Discussion**

Bozena announced that she and Randy will co-host a "Birds of a Feather" session on June 27th at the conference, with the theme of "Making Every Cancer Count". The session aims to explore collaborative efforts to ensure that every cancer in North America is accounted for, focusing on operational aspects and cancer research. She elaborated on the theme. Chris suggested that participants of the Birds of a Feather session should query their databases to show how many state ZZ cases they have. the idea of assigning pre-work or 'pre-homework' to session attendees, which was seen as a beneficial approach to improve efficiency and focus during their 45-minute discussions. The team also discussed budget-related issues and the need to address data collection related to certifications. | * Bozena will provide an overview of the session's outcomes in a future RDU meeting.
* Recinda offered to take notes during the Birds of a Feather session.
* Recinda will provide Bozena with an overview of the percent of paths only by registry and national.
 |
| 1. **Communication with WG/TFs**

The potential for collaboration and showcasing individual research and reports through the NAACCR Review blog, was discussed. Paige proposed an analysis of Texas data for comparison with national data, and the idea of featuring specific reports from Texas was well-received. Recinda and Angela suggested that outside researchers could also contribute their own narratives, emphasizing the value of data use for other registries. Jeff encouraged Paige to send off her ideas and projects. The theme of improved communication within the committees and work groups was also highlighted, with Jeff noting that broadening communication was a key area of focus. They agreed on the need for a 6-month check-in to monitor progress and address any challenges. | * Recinda agreed to set up the 90-minute check-in meeting and invited committee members and task force chairs to prepare for the session by considering what they want to hear from the task forces.
 |
| **Next Meeting –May 28, 2024, 1:30pm ET (90 minutes)**  |